

1 XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
2 DAVID E. BRICE  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 ELENA L. ALMANZO  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 131058  
1300 I Street, Suite 125  
5 P.O. Box 944255  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
6 Telephone: (916) 210-7902  
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
10 **FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to  
Revoke Probation Against:

Case No. A1 2018 126

**ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO  
REVOKE PROBATION**

13 **DAMBACHER MOUNTAIN MEMORIAL,**  
14 **INC.**  
15 **22394 Lyons Bald Mountain Road**  
**Sonora, CA 95370**  
16 **Certificate of Authority License No. COA 602**

17 **JAMES B. SELLS**  
18 **225 E. Rose Avenue**  
**Sonora, CA 95370**  
19 **Cemetery Manager License No. CEM 116**

20 Respondents.

21  
22 Complainant alleges:

23 **PARTIES**

24 1. Lisa M. Moore (Complainant) brings this Accusation and Petition to Revoke  
25 Probation solely in her official capacity as the Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau,  
26 hereinafter Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs.

27 2. On or about April 7, 2006, the Bureau issued Certificate of Authority License No.  
28 COA 602 to Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc. (Respondent Dambacher). The Certificate of

1 Authority License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein  
2 and will expire on April 30, 2019, unless renewed.

3 3. On or about June 7, 2004, the Bureau issued Cemetery Manager License No. CEM  
4 116 to James B. Sells (Respondent Sells). The Cemetery Manager License was in full force and  
5 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2019, unless  
6 renewed

7 4. In Accusation No. A1 2014 130 a Decision and Order was issued effective October  
8 19, 2015, in which Respondent Dambacher's Certificate of Authority License was revoked.  
9 However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent Dambacher's Certificate of Authority  
10 License was placed on probation for three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of  
11 that Decision and Order is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

#### 12 JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR ACCUSATION

13 5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer  
14 Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section  
15 references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

16 6. Section 7711.1 of the Code states:

17 Unprofessional conduct by any licensee or registrant or by any agent or employee of a  
18 licensee or registrant constitutes grounds for disciplinary action. Unprofessional  
conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

19 (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting  
20 the violation of this chapter and any regulation adopted thereunder, or of any federal  
or state law or regulation governing the disposition of human remains, operation of  
21 cemeteries or crematories, the sale of cemetery property, or the sale of crematory  
services or commodities.

22 (b) Negligence in performing any act related to the operation of a cemetery or  
23 crematory

24 7. Health and Safety Code section 8750 states:

25 Endowment care funds shall not be used for any purpose other than to provide  
through income only for the reserves authorized by law and for the endowment care  
26 of the cemetery in accordance with the resolutions, by-laws, rules and regulations or  
other actions or instruments of the cemetery authority.

27 8. Health and Safety Code section 8726.1 provides:

28 (a) If a cemetery authority establishes an endowment care fund, the fund shall be

subject to a net income distribution method that requires all of the following:

- (1) The trustee of the endowment care fund shall create a reserve from which principal losses may be replaced by setting aside a reasonable percentage of the income from the fund.
- (2) The trustee may also set aside, out of income or net capital gains from investments, reserves for future maintenance, repair, replacement, or restoration of property or embellishments in the century that may be necessary or desirable as a result of wear, deterioration, accident, damage or destruction.
- (3) The total amount of these reserves for maintenance, repair, and restoration shall not at any time exceed 10 percent of the endowment care fund.
- (4) As used in this section, "net capital gains" means the amount by which cumulative realized capital gains since the establishment of the endowment care fund exceed the sum of cumulative realized capital losses since the establishment of the endowment care fund and capital gains previously set aside in reserve.
- (5) Additions to the reserve in any year from net capital gains shall not exceed one-half the difference between the capital gains and the capital losses during the year.
- (6) Net capital gains not set aside in reserve in any given year shall become a part of the principal of the endowment care fund.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), a cemetery authority may convert its endowment care fund from a net income distribution method to a unitrust distribution method if it obtains prior approval from the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau pursuant to Section 8726.2.

#### BACKGROUND

9. On or about May 25, 2016, Respondent Dambacher filed its 2015 Endowment Care Fund and Special Care Fund Report. On or about June 1, 2017, Respondent Dambacher filed its 2016 Endowment Care Fund and Special Care Fund. The Reports were filed in compliance with Business and Professions Code section 7612.6 and 7612.7. The Bureau's auditor reviewed the 2015 and 2016 reports and determined that at year-end 2016, the Endowment Care Fund principal had a net capital loss of \$29,400. On or about December 18, 2017, the Bureau notified Respondents Dambacher and Sells of the loss and requested that the deficiency be corrected by depositing the money or providing a timeline for repayment by January 31, 2018. To date, Respondents have failed to comply with the Bureau's request.

1  
2 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION**  
3 **(Unprofessional Conduct- Endowment Care Fund Loss.)**

4 10. Respondents Dambacher and Sells are subject to discipline pursuant to Code  
5 section 7711.1 in conjunction with Health and Safety Code sections 8750 and 8726.1 in that the  
6 Endowment Care fund for Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc. had a loss of \$29,400 as set forth  
7 above in paragraph 9.

8 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION**  
9 **(Unprofessional Conduct- Failure to Report to Bureau.)**

10 11. Respondents Dambacher and Sells are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section  
11 7711.1 in conjunction with Health and Safety Code sections 8750 and 8726.1 in that they failed to  
12 respond to the Bureau following their notification of the loss of funds and request for repayment.

13 **JURISDICTION FOR PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION**

14 12. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Director under Probation  
15 Term and Condition Number 6 of the Decision and Order for Accusation No. A1 2014 130. That  
16 term and condition states:

17  
18 "Should respondent violate probation in any respect, the chief of the Bureau, after  
19 giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and  
20 carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If an accusation or petition to  
21 revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Bureau shall have  
22 continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the probation shall be extended  
23 until the matter is final."

24 **FIRST CAUSE OF VIOLATION OF PROBATION**  
25 **(Obey all Laws)**

26 13. Respondent Dambacher is subject to a violation of probation under Probation Term  
27 and Condition Number 1 of the Decision and Order for Accusation No. A1 2014 130. That term  
28 and condition states:

"Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation and obey all federal, state  
and local laws, and all rules and regulations governing the programs regulated by the

Bureau.”

14. Grounds exist for a violation of probation in that Respondent Dambacher failed to obey all laws in that they had a loss of principle in the Endowment Care Fund in the amount of \$29,400, as set forth above in paragraph 9.

**SECOND CAUSE OF VIOLATION OF PROBATION  
(Quarterly Reports)**

15. Respondent is subject to a violation of probation under Probation Term and Condition Number 2 of the Decision and Order for Accusation No. A1 2014 130. That term and condition states:

“Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury, in a format designated by the Bureau, stating whether or not respondent has been in compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall also submit such additional written reports and verifications of actions requested by the Bureau. Should the final probation report not be made as directed, the period of probation shall be extended until such time as the final report is made.”

16. Grounds exist for a violation of probation in that Respondent Dambacher failed to submit quarterly reports in a timely manner as required in that their April 2018 quarterly report was late and they failed to submit a July 2018 quarterly report. The July 2018 quarterly report was due on July 19, 2018.

**PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Bureau in Case No. A1 2014 130 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Certificate of Authority License No. COA 602 issued to Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc.;

2. Revoking or suspending Cemetery Manager License No. CEM 116 issued to James B. Sells;

1           3.     Ordering Respondent Dambacher and Respondent Sells to pay the Director of  
2 Consumer Affairs the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant  
3 to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

4           4.     Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  
5  
6  
7

8 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

9           9/7/18

10           Lisa M. Moore

11           LISA M. MOORE  
12           Bureau Chief  
13           Cemetery and Funeral Bureau  
14           Department of Consumer Affairs  
15           State of California  
16           Complainant

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
SA2018101805  
13236807.docx

## **Exhibit A**

### **Decision and Order**

**Cemetery and Funeral Bureau Case No. A1 2914 130**

BEFORE THE DIRECTOR  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc.  
Certificate of Authority No. COA 602;

Jeffrey R. Wilson  
Cemetery Manager No. CEM 206,

Respondent.

Case No. A1 2014 130

OAH No. 2015 060149

**CERTIFIED COPY**

The document to which this seal is affixed, consisting of 14 pages, is certified to be a true and correct copy of the original document(s) or copies on file in the records of the California Department of Consumer Affairs, Cemetery and Funeral Bureau.

Dated 6/14/18

Signature [Signature]

**DECISION**

The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge is hereby accepted and adopted by the Director of Consumer Affairs as the Decision in the above-entitled matter, except that, pursuant to Government Code section 11517(c)(2)(C), the following technical error is corrected:

On page 4 of the LEGAL CONCLUSIONS, the following sentences:

3. Unprofessional conduct by any licensee of the Bureau or by any agent or employee of a licensee constitutes grounds for disciplinary action. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: "[v]iolating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly... any federal or state law or regulation governing the...operation of cemeteries..." Bus. & Prof. Code §9725.1, subd.(b).)

are corrected to read as follows:

3. Unprofessional conduct by any licensee of the Bureau or by any agent or employee of a licensee constitutes grounds for disciplinary action. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: "[v]iolating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly... any federal or state law or regulation governing the...operation of cemeteries..." Bus. & Prof. Code §9725.1, subd.(a).)

This Decision shall become effective 19<sup>th</sup> October 2015

IT IS SO ORDERED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015.

[Signature]  
DOREATHEA JOHNSON  
Deputy Director, Legal Affairs  
Department of Consumer Affairs



BEFORE THE  
CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

DAMBACHER MOUNTAIN MEMORIAL,  
INC.

Certificate of Authority No. COA 602;

JEFFREY RICHARD WILSON

Cemetery Manager No. CEM 206,

Respondents.

Case No. A1 2014 130

QAH No. 2015060149

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard before Timothy J. Aspinwall, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, on August 21, 2015, in Sacramento, California.

Complainant Lisa M. Moore, Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau (Bureau), was represented by Elena L. Almanzo, Deputy Attorney General.

Respondent Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc. (Dambacher) was represented by James R. Dambacher, President/Treasurer.

Respondent Jeffrey R. Wilson (Wilson) was present throughout the hearing, and represented himself.

Evidence was received, the record was closed, and the matter was submitted for decision on August 21, 2015.

## FACTUAL FINDINGS

1. On April 7, 2006, the Bureau issued Certificate of Authority No. COA 602 to Dambacher. The Certificate of Authority expired on April 30, 2013, and renewal is on hold due to the nonpayment of fines.

2. On September 17, 2014, the Bureau issued Cemetery Manager No. CEM 206 to Wilson. The Cemetery Manager license will expire on September 30, 2015.

3. On September 26, 2014, complainant, solely in her official capacity, caused to be filed the Accusation in this matter seeking to discipline respondents based on their failure to comply with citations issued to Dambacher.

4. The Bureau issued four citations to Dambacher between November 18, 2011, and December 5, 2013. Three of the citations are essentially identical, except for the dates of noncompliance.

On November 18, 2011, the Bureau issued Citation No. IC 2011 217, alleging that Dambacher failed to file the annual 2010 Endowment Care Fund (ECF) and Special Care Fund (SCF) Reports for the year ending December 31, 2010. The citation included a fine in the amount of \$2,000, and an abatement order requiring that Dambacher file the reports and pay the fine. Dambacher did not appeal the citation and it became final. Dambacher paid the fine on an undetermined date prior to the issuance of the Accusation, but did not file the required reports until the hearing date in this matter.

On November 9, 2012, the Bureau issued Citation No. IC 2012 216, alleging that Dambacher failed to file the annual 2011 ECF and SCF Reports for the year ending December 31, 2011. The citation included a fine in the amount of \$2,000, and an abatement order requiring that Dambacher file the reports and pay the fine. Dambacher did not appeal the citation and it became final. Dambacher paid the fine prior to the issuance of the Accusation with a check dated May 6, 2013, but did not file the required reports until the hearing date in this matter.

On December 5, 2013, the Bureau issued Citation No. IC 2013 256, alleging that Dambacher failed to file the annual 2012 ECF and SCF Fund Reports for the year ending December 31, 2012. The citation included a fine in the amount of \$2,000, and an abatement order requiring that Dambacher file the reports and pay the fine. Dambacher did not appeal the citation and it became final. Dambacher did not pay the fine or file the required reports until the hearing date in this matter.

5. On October 24, 2013, the Bureau issued Citation No. IC 2013 210, alleging that Dambacher failed to deposit \$800 collected through sales contracts into the ECF and \$500 collected through sales contracts for pre-need funds into the SCF during the calendar years 2010 and 2011. The citation included a fine in the amount of \$1,000 and an abatement order requiring that Dambacher deposit the funds into the ECF and SCF. Dambacher did not

appeal the citation and it became final. Dambacher did not pay the \$1,000 fine until the hearing date in this matter.

On April 8, 2013, Dambacher prepared a check in the amount of \$1,300 for deposit in the Dambacher master trust fund, with notations on the memo line of the check indicating the intended disbursement of funds as follows: "2010 & 2011 Endowment \$800" and "2010 & 2011 Special Care Fund \$500". Dambacher made the check in response to an audit by the Bureau which identified the shortages in the ECF and SCF. Two days before the hearing in this matter a CPA retained by Dambacher informed Dambacher that the monies had not been distributed as indicated on the memo line. The day before the hearing in this matter Dambacher provided written instructions to the trust fund to transfer \$800 into the ECF and \$500 into the SCF.

*Testimony of James Dambacher, President/Treasurer, Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc*

6. Mr. Dambacher testified that an audit by a certified public accountant (CPA) necessary to file the required annual ECF and SCF Reports typically costs \$5,000 per year, and that this is unaffordable because the cemetery has been operating at a loss since it opened in 2006.

7. Mr. Dambacher testified that he now understands that he has an obligation to perform an annual audit, independent of any audit that may be performed by the Bureau, and that he will either have the audits done or close his business.

*Testimony of Respondent Jeffrey R. Wilson*

8. Wilson was not aware of all the notices and citations as they came in from the Bureau. Correspondence from the Bureau is sent to the cemetery address, and Wilson is located at the funeral home.

9. Wilson testified that he had been unsure whether an audit conducted by the Bureau served as a substitute for an audit by a CPA retained by Dambacher.

10. The cemetery has done less than 20 funerals per year, which makes it financially difficult for Dambacher to retain a CPA to conduct the required annual audits.

11. Wilson believes that Mr. Dambacher has learned his lesson, and will pay to have the annual audits done.

12. Wilson testified that it would help him to more effectively manage the business to have the business records kept at the business office of the funeral home rather than at Mr. Dambacher's home where they are currently kept.

### *Costs of Investigation and Enforcement*

13. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, complainant requested costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,780. In support of the request for costs, complainant submitted a Certified Statement of Costs signed by Elena L. Almanzo, Deputy Attorney General.

14. Mr. Dambacher and respondent Wilson testified to the effect that the business has been running at a financial loss.

15. The reasonableness of costs is addressed in Legal Conclusions 8 through 10, below.

### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

1. A licensee of the Bureau may be disciplined for failure to pay a fine within 30 days of the date of assessment, unless the citation is under appeal. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 125.9, subd. (b)(5).)

2. Each cemetery authority licensed by the Bureau shall file with the Bureau an annual endowment care fund report on or before June 1, or within five months after close of their fiscal year provided approval has been granted by the Bureau, including the following: (1) the number of square feet of grave space and the number of crypts and niches sold or disposed of under endowment care; (2) the amount of money collected and deposited in both the general and special endowment care funds segregated as to the amounts for crypts, niches and grave space; (3) the total amount of general and special endowment care funds invested in each of the investments authorized by law and the amount of cash on hand not invested; (4) the location, description, and character of the investments in which the special endowment care funds are invested; (5) the transactions entered into between the corporation or any officer, employee or stockholder and the trustees of the endowment care funds with respect to those endowment care funds. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9650, subd. (a).)

The annual ECF report must be verified by the president or vice president and one other officer of the cemetery corporation, and shall be accompanied by an annual audit report of the ECF and SCF fund signed by a CPA or public accountant. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9650, subd. (b).)

3. Unprofessional conduct by any licensee of the Bureau or by any agent or employee of a licensee constitutes grounds for disciplinary action. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: "[v]iolating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly . . . any federal or state law or regulation governing the . . . operation of cemeteries . . ." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9725.1, subd. (b).)

4. A licensed cemetery is required to at all times employ a cemetery manager to supervise and direct its operations. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9723.) The cemetery manager shall be responsible for direct supervision and control over the cemetery operations as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9723, subd. (b).)

5. Cause exists to discipline respondent Dambacher's Certificate of Authority based on Factual Findings 4 through 7, and Legal Conclusions 1 through 3.

6. Cause exists to discipline respondent Wilson's Cemetery Manager License based on Factual Findings 4, and 8 through 12; and Legal Conclusions 2 through 4.

7. No cause exists to discipline either respondent under Business and Professions Code section 9727, subdivision (c), which provides that the Bureau may discipline a licensee who has "[w]illfully disregarded or violated any provisions of this act relating to cemetery brokerage." There is no evidence of wrongdoing relating to cemetery brokerage as governed by Business and Professions Code section 9675, et seq.

8. In determining the appropriate discipline, the central question is what level of discipline is necessary to protect the public. Based upon all of the circumstances set forth in Factual Findings 4 through 12, public protection will be ensured by imposing terms and conditions of probation on respondent Dambacher's Certificate of Authority and Wilson's Cemetery Manager license.

#### *Costs of Investigation and Enforcement*

9. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 permits the Bureau to request an administrative law judge hearing a disciplinary matter to direct a licensee to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case, except as otherwise provided by the law. Complainant provided sufficient evidence to support an award of the costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,780.

10. The costs of investigation and enforcement must also be evaluated for reasonableness against the standards enunciated in *Zuckerman v. Board of Chiropractic Examiners* (2002) 29 Cal.4th 32, 45. *Zuckerman* requires the consideration of the following factors in determining the amount of costs to be assessed: (a) whether the respondents have used the hearing process to obtain dismissal of some of the charges or a reduction in the severity of the discipline imposed; (b) the respondents' subjective good faith belief in the merits of their respective positions; (c) whether the respondents have raised a colorable challenge to the proposed discipline; (d) whether the respondents will be financially able to make later payments; and (e) whether the Bureau has conducted a disproportionately large investigation.

11. Taking into account the factors required by *Zuckerman*, the costs of enforcement and prosecution in the amount of \$2,780 are reasonable.


## ORDER

Certificate of Authority license number COA 602 issued to Dambacher Mountain Memorial, Inc., and Cemetery manager license number CEM 206 issued to respondent Jeffrey R. Wilson are revoked; provided, however, the revocations are stayed and respondents are individually placed on probation for three (3) years, subject to the following terms and conditions applicable to each individual respondent:

1. Obey All Laws: Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation and obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules and regulations governing the programs regulated by the Bureau.
2. Quarterly Reports: Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury, in a format designated by the Bureau, stating whether or not respondent has been in compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall also submit such additional written reports and verifications of actions requested by the Bureau. Should the final probation report not be made as directed, the period of probation shall be extended until such time as the final report is made.
3. Interview with Bureau Representative: As necessary, respondent shall appear in person for scheduled interviews with the director or other designated representative for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the terms of this Decision.
4. Out - of - State Residence or Operation: Should respondent leave California to reside or operate outside this state, respondent must notify the Bureau in writing of the dates of departure and return. Reporting in person may be waived if the respondent moves out of the state. However, respondent shall continue compliance with other terms of probation to retain California licensure. Periods of residency, business operation or employment outside California shall not reduce the probationary period.
5. Completion of Probation: Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.
6. Violation of Probation: Should respondent violate probation in any respect, the chief of the Bureau, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Bureau shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
7. License Issued During Probation: Any license or registration issued to respondent by Bureau during the period of probation shall be issued as a probationary license or registration and is subject to all the terms and conditions set forth herein. Respondent must comply with terms and conditions herein and demonstrate no cause for disciplinary action or denial of an application.

8. Cost Recovery: Respondents Dambacher and Wilson are jointly and severally liable to pay the Bureau for all reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of this matter in the amount of \$2,780. Said amount shall be paid within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, or according to a payment plan approved by the Bureau. Probation shall not terminate until full payment has been made. Respondents' respective licenses shall not be renewed until the cost recovery has been paid in full or respondents are otherwise in compliance with a payment plan approved by the Bureau.

Dated: September 14, 2015



TIMOTHY J. ASPINWALL  
Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Hearings